

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,534	10/817,534 04/02/2004		John J. McKillip	82537	4872
22242	7590	09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
FITCH EV	EN TAB	IN AND FLANNE	MAYES, MELVIN C		
120 SOUTH	LA SAL	LE STREET			
SUITE 1600	)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	)3-3406	1734		
				DATE MAIL ED: 00/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/817,534	MCKILLIP, JOHN J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
T. MAU 110 0 1 2 2 1 1 1	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed.	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received
	. 1. and defined dopied flut i	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 1734

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

(1)

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraph [0086] base and liner layers "380 and 366" should read "360 and 366"

Paragraph [0089] states that die cuts are made through a precut laminate through the "backing layer 364 to define the integrated removable card" but according to the claims the dies cuts in the precut laminate are made through the base and liner layers. These should be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

**(2)** 

Claim 18 objected to because of the following informalities: "from" should read "form." Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

(3)

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

**(4)** 

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the second apparatus." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1734

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

Page 3

(4)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(5)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459

(1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,534 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

(6)

Claims 11 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Behnen 2005/0181167.

Behnen discloses a method of making an integrated card and business form comprising: introducing a tag web 60 (base layer) of tag or board stock (cardstock) into a flexographic printing presses to print indicia on the top and bottom surfaces of the tag web; laminating a first laminating layer 28 (liner layer) of plastic film (transparent film) to the tag web by a first layer of adhesive; laminating a second lamination layer 42 (backing layer) to the first lamination layer (liner layer) by a second layer of adhesive; die cutting cards through the tag web (base layer) and first lamination layer (liner layer); die cutting a backer 16 (integrated removable card portion) through the first and second layers of lamination (liner and backing layers); perforating the tag web; and fan folding the business form into the finished product [0030]-[0061].

Further by laminating and die cutting the tag web and first and second laminating layers for fan folding into a business form having integrated cards and backer, a base layer is obviously adhesively secured to a liner layer and die cuts formed through base, liner and backing layers resulting in a pre-cut laminate having cards and a backing layer having integrated removable card portion of the backing layer, as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

**(7)** 

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1734

(8)

Page 5

Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

(9)

Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

(10)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(11)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1734

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Curtis Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM September 19, 2005